a daily basis as we declare, once again, war on meth.

TAX CODE IS UNAMERICAN

(Mr. TRAFICANT asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. TRAFICANT. Mr. Speaker, the Tax Code is unAmerican. It is also so big it would give King Kong a hernia.

But the bad stuff is evident. The Tax Code rewards dependency, subsidizes illegitimacy, kills jobs, and chases companies overseas.

Now, if that is not enough to overload your hard drives, check this out: Experts say that the Tax Code is needed because it modifies economic behavior.

Beam me up.

If the Founders wanted to modify economic behavior, they would have contracted with Sigmund Freud to write the Tax Code.

I yield back the ego, the id, and the super ego of our kinky Tax Code.

WE NEED TO WAGE WAR AGAINST METH

(Mr. GIBBONS asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. GIBBONS. Mr. Speaker, earlier this year an illegal meth amphetamine lab exploded on the 12th floor in a hotel in downtown Reno.

So today, Mr. Speaker, I rise to express my strong support for a bill which my colleague the gentleman from California (Mr. CALVERT) just spoke about and will be introducing today. His Working and Reacting Against Methamphetamine Act will wage a full scale and meaningful war against the methamphetamine epidemic that has spread throughout America.

Mr. Speaker, last year, 1999, 44 States reported close to 6,000 meth lab seizures. Obviously, this is a growing problem that we must address.

The War Against Methamphetamine Act will increase the penalties for producing both amphetamine and methamphetamine. The bill will also provide law enforcement officials with the necessary tools and resources to effectively combat the meth epidemic.

We need to protect our children from the latest drug epidemic located in our open backyards. I encourage our colleagues to support the War Against Meth Act and its multifaceted approach to closing down meth labs nationwide.

WAR AGAINST METHAMPHETAMINE ACT

(Mr. REYES asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute.)

Mr. REYES. Mr. Speaker, I rise today in strong support of the War

Against Methamphetamine Act introduced by my colleague the gentleman from California (Mr. CALVERT).

We have all heard the staggering numbers related to meth labs across the country. The most troubling figure, in my mind, is the number of children that have been found at the lab seizure sites, 1,252 children at the sites.

This legislation increases penalties related to amphetamine and creates new and additional penalties for the production of these dangerous drugs. This bill also establishes a national center that would be created in conjunction and coordination with the Drug Enforcement Agency, the L.A. Clearinghouse, and the El Paso Intelligence Center, which is, by the way, located in my district.

The National Center will collect, analyze, and distribute all seizure information sent in by law enforcement officials across the country. This National Center will allow law enforcement officials across the country to instantly access vital information on these kinds of seizures.

I urge all my colleagues to cosponsor this bill and support our local law enforcement.

WILL PRESIDENT AL GORE PAR-DON PRESIDENT BILL CLINTON?

(Mr. PITTS asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. PITTS. Mr. Speaker, in an editorial in today's Washington Post, we hear once again that the new Independent Counsel Robert Ray is serious about indicting the President after he leaves office.

The Post says that, "A plausible indictment of Mr. Clinton, who has never publicly acknowledged the extent of his wrongdoing, could surely be drawn."

It goes on to say, "Some opponents of impeachment argued during the congressional proceedings that Mr. Clinton's susceptibility to criminal prosecution after his term in office was a powerful reason not to remove him."

And the Post editorial continues in talking about disbarment and a \$90,000 fine, arguing in the end that Mr. Ray should exercise restraint.

Mr. Speaker, to me there is a more important question. The Associated Press reported yesterday the administration announced that the President will not pardon himself. But if the Vice President is successful in his bid to succeed his boss, would he then turn around and pardon him?

The real question is, will President AL GORE pardon President Bill Clinton? I think he owes it to the American people to explain.

ANNOUNCEMENT BY THE SPEAKER PRO TEMPORE

The SPEAKER pro tempore. The Chair would remind all Members that

it is not in order to address the personality of the President or the Vice President of the United States.

FREE AND FAIR ELECTIONS PARA-MOUNT TO OUR SYSTEM OF GOV-ERNMENT AND THOSE OF CEN-TRAL AND SOUTH AMERICA

(Mr. McNULTY asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend his remarks.)

Mr. McNULTY. Mr. Speaker, I am pleased to yield to my friend, the gentleman from South Carolina (Mr. SANFORD).

Mr. SANFORD. Mr. Speaker, I thank the gentleman for yielding.

Mr. Speaker, I would just make the point that, whether Republican or Democrat, a theme that our country is built on is the idea of free and fair elections. And if what is going on in Peru right now is able to stand, then the Fujijmori government in Peru will be built on unfree and unfair elections.

Indeed, a lot of controversy is going on right now about a young boy and whether he should or should not go back to Castro because of freedom. If we look at what is going on, again, in Peru, a cancer will start to grow that America should be no part of.

So I would say that, if what stands, we need to look at stripping aid from the supplemental, we need to look at blocking aid with the drug war, we need to look at blocking access to international financial institutions. Because free and fair elections are paramount to our system of government and to governments throughout Central and South America.

PASS H.R. 1070 BY THIS MOTHER'S DAY

(Ms. ROS-LEHTINEN asked and was given permission to address the House for 1 minute and to revise and extend her remarks.)

Ms. ROS-LEHTINEN. Mr. Speaker, despite education on preventive measures and early detection, the rate of cancer among women has continued to increase at an alarming rate. Every 64 minutes a woman is diagnosed with reproductive tract cancer. And just today, one in eight women will be diagnosed with breast cancer.

Our colleague, the gentlewoman from North Carolina (Mrs. MYRICK), shared with us how she is among the fortunate who can afford life-saving treatment after her diagnosis.

We have encouraged low-income mothers and daughters to have mammogram screenings and early detection measures. But when these medical tests show an unfavorable diagnosis, who is there to ensure that they receive the life-saving treatment they so desperately need?

Mr. Speaker, our Nation's low-income women living with breast cancer cannot wait any longer. H.R. 1070 gives the States an optional Medicaid benefit